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## Ceibal Program



- "One laptop per child"model in primary education (2007)
- Extended to secondary schools
- Key role during COVID-19 pandemic
- webpage: https://ceibal.edu.uy


## Learning managment system (LMS)

## Plataformas



- LMS Monitor: Shiny app, draft version: http://164.73.240.157:3838/App-Ceibal/
- Key drivers of LMS use: measure student engagement
- Predictive modeling
- Little Bridge data (LMS)
- Predict English results

Project Team: Natalia da Silva, Oscar Montañez, Bruno Tancredi, jimena Padín

## Introduction

## Data sources

Predictive modeling

English adaptive test

- 2 components: Vocabulary-Grammar (VG) and Reading (R)
- End of academic year (November-December)
- $\approx 35000$ students, randomly selected

$12 \%$ of students below A1.1 level

Little Bridge

- Interactive LSM to learn English
- Automatic evaluation
- In children from $4^{\circ}, 5^{\circ}$ y $6^{\circ}$ grades (9-11 years old)

2021 data

- $\approx 70000$ students
- LB activity per child-day
- Some information about teachers

LB snapshot

| \# \# | Act | min.pts | max.pts | ActTot | Preguntas | Correctas |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# \# 1 | act_32 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 1 | 10 | 5 |
| \#\# 2 | act_32 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 1 | 10 | 5 |
| \#\# 3 | act_33 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| \#\# 4 | act_402 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| \#\# 5 |  | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| \# \# 6 | act_16 | 0.30 | 0.60 | 2 | 20 | 9 |
| \#\# 7 | act_18 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1 | 12 | 12 |
| \#\# 8 | act_19 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1 | 5 | 5 |
| \#\# 9 | act_20 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 1 | 8 | 7 |
| \#\# 10 | act_21 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1 | 5 | 5 |

Other variables: school, socioconomic level ...

## Monthly attemps



- Quintil $1-$ Quintil $2-$ Quintil $3-$ Quintil $4-$ Quintil 5


## Introduction

## Data sources

## Predictive modeling

Right answers and English level





| B 1 | $\mathrm{~A} 2.1=\mathrm{A} 1.1$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| A 2.2 | $\mathrm{~A} 1.2=\mathrm{Pre}$-A1.1 |

## Clasification problem

Children in 6th grade are expected to reach A2.1 level.

- Sample size: $\approx 3000$ students
- Response:

$$
Y_{i}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { reaches A2 level or higher } \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

- Use LB acumulated work up to July
- Fit several statistical learning methods


## Clasification results

Showing some results from a random forest (ranger)

Confusion matrix


Accuracy $\approx 66 \%$

Calibration plot


## Variable importance

## Most relevant variables are not individual specific



- Include class effect: learning occurs in class envioroment, so

$$
E\left(Y_{i j}\right)=\text { class }_{j}+f\left(x_{i j}\right)
$$

for instance: BART with random effects

- Data exploration suggests separation occurs in linear combinations. We plan using Projection pursuit methods.


## Thank you!

